SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR [C.G.]

WRIT PETITION NO. 1474 /2005

PETITIONER :- M/s Mahesh Prasad Singh son of Shri Saligram Singh, aged about 30 years, Coal merchant and transporter, resident of near Budhiya Dharamkanta, Ambikapur, District Surguja [C.G.]

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1] State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Mines, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur [C.G.]

2] The Collector, Ambikapur, District Surguja [C.G.]

P.R. No. 1564/05
Presented
dated

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

17

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1494 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	15.04.2005:- Shri V.K.Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Parag Kotecha, learned P.L. for the State/respondents. The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner against the order of the Collector, Ambikapur, respondent No.2 dated 07.04.2005 whereby the respondent No. 2 directed the petitioner to close his Coal Depot within the District of Ambikapur. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has been legally procured the coal from the South Eastern Coal Ltd and he is a duly registered trader with the Commercial Tax Department of State of Chhattisgarh, even then without any authority of law, the petitioner has been directed by the order of Annexure P/1 to close his Depot without assigning any reason. Learned counsel submits that ends of justice will be served if the respondents are directed to decide the representation of Annexure P/3 submitted by him on 21.03.2005 to respondent No.2 within a stipulated period. He further submits that in other connected petitions, this Court has passed interim order to the effect that the impugned order shall not be given effect to till the next date of hearing. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that the ends of justice will be served if the respondent No. 2 is directed to dispose of the representation of Annexure P/3 by a reasoned	

छे देखिये)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1434 **सन्** 200 5

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and till the representation is decided, no action in pursuance of the order of Annexure P/1 shall be taken by respondent No. 2. In the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	